

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/707,996	01/30/2004	Daniel C. Edelstein	FIS920030232US1	1995
7590 01/17/2007			EXAMINER	
Andrew M. Calderon  Greenblum and Bernstein P.L.C.  SCHILLINGER, LAU  SCHILLINGER, LAU	R, LAURA M			
1950 Roland Clarke Place Reston, VA 20191		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Resion, VA 201	'171		. 2813	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(a)			
v			Applicant(s)			
Office Assists Comment		10/707,996	EDELSTEIN ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Laura M. Schillinger	2813			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
WHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE IN THE MAILING THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON.	N. imely filed  In the mailing date of this communication.  FD. (35 U.S.C. & 133)			
Status						
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 No	ovember 2006.				
		action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
· _	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-48</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
	on Papers	·				
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	_				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		Evernings			
.0/	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		, 7,00,011 01 10111 1 1 0 - 102.			
	•	priority under 25 H C O C 4404	) (d) ca (5)			
_	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
۵٫۱	· ·					
	<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	application from the International Bureau		ed in this National Stage			
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ed.			
Attaches	Vol.					
Attachment	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) [ ] Interdiction (Com-	(DTO 442)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) 🔯 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F				
Pape	Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/2/06</u> . 6)  Other:					

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Claims 7-48 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected claims, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 3/10/06.

Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-6 in the reply filed on 3/10/06 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that claim 1 is generic. This is not found persuasive because the dependent claims constitute a great number of distinct species which requires a burdensome search. Upon allowance of the generic claim, the Applicant may be entitled to rejoinder for the remaining species.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Holmes et al ('813)

Application/Control Number: 10/707,996

Art Unit: 2813

Holmes teaches the following claimed limitations as cited below:

1. (original) A method of manufacturing a structure, comprising the steps of:

providing a structure having an insulator layer with at least one interconnect (Fig.12(

SiN/oxide/electrode);

forming a sub lithographic template mask over the insulator layer (Fig. 12(oxide- layer 8 in

Fig.5); and

selectively etching the insulator layer through the sub lithographic template mask to form sub

lithographic features spanning to a sidewall of the at least one interconnect (Fig.15- part of the

SiN is removed).

2. (original) The method of claim 1, wherein the sub lithographic features are substantially

vertical columns in the insulator layer (Fig.15).

3. (original) The method of claim 2, wherein the sub lithographic features further include a

plurality of holes formed in a capping layer beneath the sub lithographic template mask and

having a diameter or cross section less than a diameter or cross section of the at least one

interconnect and also substantially equal to the substantially vertical columns in the insulator

layer (Fig. 13-see the capping layer formed between the mask pattern made of SiNit).

4. (original) The method of claim 1, wherein the etching step is an anisotropic etching forming a

plurality of the sub lithographic features defined as nano columns (Col.5, lines: 1-5).

Application/Control Number: 10/707,996 Page 4

Art Unit: 2813

6. (original) The method of claim 1, wherein: the sub lithographic features are substantially vertical columns in the insulator layer; the sub lithographic features further include a plurality of holes having a diameter less than a diameter of the at least one interconnect and substantially equal to the substantially vertical columns in the insulator layer and a top portion of the holes are tapered (Fig. 10- the examiner considers the hexagonal structure to be a form of tapering).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holmes et al (\*813).

5. (original) The method of claim 4, wherein the etching step includes an isotropic etching to meld at least adjacent nano columns together and provide an undercut below the at least one interconnect- Applicant admits in the specification that of ordinary skill in the art would understand how to continue etching to form an undercut region from the trenched regions forming by sublithographic etching.

## Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 2813

Applicant's arguments filed 10/26/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Holmes does not teach etching the oxide layer and therefore fails to anticipate Applicant's claims. This argument is not persuasive because Holmes teaches to etch the polish stop which is SiN, which is an insulator and the claim does not require that the oxide be etched, rather an insulator be etched. Therefore the etching of the silicon nitride anticipates Applicant's claim.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura M. Schillinger whose telephone number is (571) 272-1697. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T, R-F 7:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl W. Whitehead, Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-1702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 6

Laura M Schillinger Primary Examiner Art Unit 2813

12/28/06